

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB

This Document Relates to:

ALL CASES

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER AS
MODIFIED RE:
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER
PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE
SEALED

Having considered Plaintiffs' January 8, 2024 Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Filed Under Seal, related to Exhibit A to the Supplemental Declaration of Roopal P. Luhana in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Enforce PTO No. 2 and to compel Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC (collectively "Uber") to produce litigation hold and preservation information, **and having considered Uber's Statement of Non-Opposition, ECF No. 218**, the Court hereby **DENIES** the Motion and **ORDERS** that the following materials shall not be sealed, **and within seven days Plaintiff shall file an unsealed version of Exhibit A, ECF No. 184-1:**

Document	Description	Designating Party
Exhibit A to the Supplemental Declaration of Roopal P. Luhana in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Enforce PTO No. 2 and to Compel Defendants to Produce Litigation Hold and Preservation Information	List of the job titles of past and current Uber employees subject to a legal hold	Uber

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

2
3
4 Dated: 1/24/2024

5 
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
HON. LISA J. CISNEROS
United States Magistrate Court Judge